



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,823		11/04/2003	Mohammad Saberan	LEAR 04661 PUSP / 04661 6256	
34007	7590	05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
		IMAN P.C. / LEAR C	NELSON JR, MILTON		
	1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHF	IELD, M	II 48075-1238		3636	
				DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N			
Office Action Summary	10/700,823	SABERAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ma	arch 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 04 November 2003 is/ar		ed to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		· ·	d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	the same through the same transfer to					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Copies of the partified entire of the priority						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 		d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		4				
200 and accounted Office action for a list t	and definited copies not received	u.				
844-chm-su44-c						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Internation Commence of	PTO 443)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

The preliminary amendment filed March 4, 2004 has been entered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 70 (see page 8). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 11, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 includes references to "the seat back" and/or "the seat cushion". It is unclear if these features are intended to be the same as the previously set forth "seat back assembly" and "seat cushion".

Application/Control Number: 10/700,823

Art Unit: 3636

assembly", respectively. Similarly note claims 11, 16 and 19. In line 2 of claim 20, "the seat" is referenced. It is unclear if this is intended to be the same feature as the previously set forth "second row seat system". In the last line of claim 20, "the second row seat" is referenced. It is unclear if this is intended to be the same feature as the previously set forth "second row seat system".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (c) he has abandoned the invention.
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Application/Control Number: 10/700,823

Art Unit: 3636

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

(g)(1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection, there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arai (6196613). Note the seat cushion assembly (15), seat back assembly (16), track assembly (3), use position (Figure 1), and stadium position (Figure 4). Also note that the seat back assembly slides in the track assembly by way of the rollers (13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai (6196613) in view of Neale et al (6688666).

Art Unit: 3636

Arai shows all claimed features of the instant invention with the exception of the seating system being a second row seating system, wherein the row seat is a second row seat. In Arai, note the seat cushion assembly (15), seat back assembly (16), and track assembly (3).

Neale et al teaches the conventional concept of configuring an adjustable seating row system (30) as an adjustable second seating row system having a second row seat.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time of the instant invention to modify Arai in view of the teachings of Neale et al by configuring the row seating system as a second row seating system having a second row seat. Such conventionally provides the advantages of Arai's third row seating system in a position where a second row seating system is placed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 6, 11, 16 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-5, 7-10, 13-15, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3636

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. A foldable vehicle seat assembly is shown by each of Arai (6371556), Freijy et al (6676216), Mattarella et al (6089641), Jach et al (6568736), Sonnenberg et al (6679536), Froumajou (4382629), Tsuchiya et al (5951104), Hayakawa et al (5195802), and Kammerer (6655738).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is 7033082117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-3:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Milton Nelson, Jr. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3636